# THE PEER TEAM REPORT ON INSTITUTIONAL ACCREDITATION

College Of Dental Sciences, Davangere, Karnataka.

Section I: GENERAL		Information		
1.1 Name & Address of the Institution :		College of Dental Sciences, P.B. No.327, Pavilion Road,		
1.2 Year of Establishment :		Davangere-577004. 1991.		
1.3 Current Academic Activities at the Institution (Numbers):				
• F	aculties/ Schools:			
• D	Departments/ Centres:	17		
• P	rogrammes/ Courses			
of	ffered:	PG UG Research Others		
• P	ermanent Faculty	139		
N	Members:			
• P	ermanent Support Staff:	168(157+51)		
• S	tudents	UG-179M+273F=452. PG74M+70F=144.   ■ Committed staff		
1.4. Three major features in the Institutional Context ( <b>As perceived by the Peer Team</b> ):		<ul> <li>International students</li> <li>Adequate infrastructure</li> </ul>		
1.5 Dates of visit of (A detailed visit	the Peer Team schedule may be included	17 <sup>th</sup> and 18 <sup>th</sup> December, 2012.		
as given below):				
1.6.Composition of the Peer Team which undertook the on- site visit:		1.Dr.S. Rangaswami-Chairman		
		2.Dr. Arbind Kumar Sharma-Member Co-ordinator.		
		3. Dr. Jayaram Mailankody-Member		
Chairman:		Dr.S. Rangaswami		
Member/s:				
NAAC Coordinator:		Dr. M.S. Shyamasunder(NAAC)		

Section II: CRITERION WISE ANALYSIS		Observations (Strengths and/or Weaknesses) on Key- Aspects (Please limit to <i>three major ones</i> for each and use telegraphic language (It is not necessary to indicate all the three bullets each time; write only the relevant ones)		
2.1 Ci	urricular Aspects:	,		
2.1.1	Curricular Design & Development:	<ul> <li>Has a stated Vision &amp; Mission: fairly implemented.</li> <li>Limited scope for revision-being an affiliated Institution</li> <li>Institutional goals &amp; objectives not emphasized.</li> </ul>		
2.1.2	Academic flexibility:	<ul> <li>Limited flexibility-RGUHS/DCI regulated.</li> <li>Implant Dentistry as certificate course offered</li> <li>Academy of Continuing Education(ACE)</li> </ul>		
2.1.3	Feedback on Curriculum	<ul> <li>Feedback and analysis mechanism exists.</li> <li>May be made more effective</li> </ul>		
2.1.4	Curriculum update	<ul> <li>BDS revised in –08 and again in –11 BY DCI &amp; RGUHS-Followed</li> <li>•</li> </ul>		
2.1.5	Best Practices in Curricular aspects (If any):			
		• ICT. •		
2.2 Te	eaching- Learning & Evaluation:			
2.2.1	Admission Process and Student Profile:	<ul> <li>As per Government regulations:CET/COMED-K / management for UG &amp; PG</li> <li>Vacant seats in UG</li> <li>Students with lower ranks in CET/COMED-K,</li> </ul>		

		admitted
2.2.2	Catering to the diverse needs:	<ul> <li>Tutorial, seminars arranged.</li> <li>Mentorship exists.</li> <li>Remedial measures for slow learners.</li> </ul>
2.2.3	Teaching-Learning Process:	
2.2.4	Teacher Quality:	<ul> <li>'Innovative teaching and learning'</li> <li>ICT and CAL, CPA</li> <li>Paucity of clinical material.</li> </ul>
2.2.5	Evaluation Process and Reforms:	<ul> <li>Good.</li> <li>Needs selection committee for recruitment /promotion</li> <li>Faculty improvement programs limited</li> <li>Disproportion between senior/middle and junior faculty.</li> </ul>
2.2.6	Best Practices in Teaching-learning	<ul><li>Academic audit</li><li>Internal assessment</li></ul>
	and Evaluation (If any):	<ul> <li>Innovative teaching and learning</li> <li>Student-centered teaching.</li> </ul>
2.3.Re	search, Consultancy & Extension:	
2.3.1	Promotion of Research:	<ul> <li>Research efforts need to be strengthened</li> <li>Specific financial support to be provided.</li> <li>ICMR guidelines of Ethical committee needs to be implemented</li> </ul>
2.3.2	Research and Publications Output:	<u>-</u>
2.3.3	Consultancy:	<ul> <li>Publications in national &amp; international journals.</li> <li>Mainly limited to PG dissertations, except for a few faculty</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Limited to patient care and service</li> <li>Very limited research/advisory consultancy</li> </ul>

2.3.4	Extension Activities:  Collaborations:	<ul> <li>Committee for extension activities</li> <li>Camps and rallies, Adoption of villages/PHCs for free treatment</li> </ul>
2.3.6	Best Practices in Research, Consultancy and Extension (If any):	<ul> <li>Present, but mostly limited to BEA institutions.</li> <li>•</li> </ul>
	Consultancy and Extension (if any).	<ul> <li>Good support from medical college.</li> <li>Mobile units for community services.</li> </ul>
2.4 I	nfrastructure and Learning Resources:	
2.4.1	Physical Facilities for Learning:	<ul> <li>Well equipped classrooms, clinics and labs.</li> <li>Departmental museums for student learning</li> </ul>
2.4.2	Maintenance of Infrastructure:	<ul> <li>Committee and Assoc.Dean-Support services</li> <li>Well-maintained premises</li> </ul>
2.4.3	Library as a Learning Resource:	<ul> <li>Adequate learning resources</li> <li>Complete computerization desirable</li> <li>Separate reading space for faculty desirable</li> </ul>
2.4.4	ICT as Learning Resources:	<ul> <li>ICT and wifi enabled</li> <li>Helinet connectivity</li> </ul>
2.4.5	Other Facilities:	<ul> <li>Good Hostels and recreational facilities</li> <li>EDP cell</li> </ul>
2.4.6	Best Practices in the development of	Well maintained and managed hostels.

	Infrastructure and Learning Resources (If any):	<ul> <li>Central Store having excellent storing facility.</li> </ul>
2.5 S	Student Support and Progression:	
2.5.1	Student Progression:	<ul> <li>Good mix of national &amp; international students</li> <li>Good results in University examinations.</li> </ul>
2.5.2	Student Support:	<ul><li> Group accident insurance</li><li> Mentorship</li><li> Complaint reddressal mechanism</li></ul>
2.5.3	Student Activities:	<ul> <li>Alumni participation good.</li> <li>Active Student council</li> <li>Involvement in sports &amp; cultural activities.</li> </ul>
2.5.4	Best Practices in Student Support and Progression (If any):	<ul><li>Student charter</li><li>Insurance cover.</li></ul>
2.6	Governance and Leadership:	
2.6.1	Institutional Vision and Leadership:  Organizational Arrangements:	<ul> <li>Identified vision &amp; mission.</li> <li>Leadership currently in transition.</li> <li>Website needs care/updating</li> <li>Various committees with several Assoc. Deans.</li> <li>Former principal re-designated as Director recently.</li> </ul>
2.6.3	Strategy development and deployment:	
2.6.4	Human Resource Management:	<ul> <li>IQAC and AQAR</li> <li>SWOC analysis reports</li> <li>Perspective plan Document.</li> <li>Associate dean for HR</li> <li>Lack of Policy guidelines on faculty promotion.</li> </ul>

<ul><li>2.6.5 Financial Management and Resource Mobilization:</li><li>2.6.6 Best Practices in Governance and Leadership (If any):</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Lacks well-defined financial policies and practices</li> <li>Budget allocations not clear</li> <li>MMC(Mission Monitoring Cell)</li> <li>SWOC analysis prepared in departments.</li> </ul>		
2.7 Innovative Practices:			
2.7.1 Internal Quality Assurance System:	<ul> <li>Present with ten action points.</li> <li>Hospital accreditation process is going on.</li> </ul>		
2.7.2 Inclusive practices:	<ul> <li>Bridge courses</li> <li>Kannada &amp; Indian Constitution(part) courses for students</li> </ul>		
2.7.3 Stakeholder Relationships:	<ul> <li>Stakeholder (Alumni/PTA)suggestions honoured</li> <li>Camps, adopting village/PHC</li> <li>Complaint management process</li> </ul>		
Section III: OVERALL ANALYSIS	Observations (Please limit to <i>five major ones</i> for each and use telegraphic language) (It is not necessary to denote all the five bullets for each),		
3.1 Institutional Strengths:	<ul> <li>Linked with supportive sister institutions</li> <li>Ability to attract PGs</li> <li>Committed faculty</li> <li>Adequate Infrastructure</li> <li>Employee- friendly approach</li> </ul>		
3.2 Institutional Weaknesses:	<ul> <li>Lack of collaborations</li> <li>Sharing clinical material (for100+47 intake/year)</li> <li>Lack of Central Bio-medical research lab</li> <li>•</li> </ul>		
3.3 Institutional Challenges:	<ul> <li>Competition from neighbouring institutions</li> <li>Declining demand for dentistry</li> <li>Developing clinical material</li> <li>Quality of UG students admitted.</li> </ul>		

	Finding adequate placement of graduates
3.4 Institutional Opportunities:	<ul> <li>To collaborate with medical depts. for research</li> <li>Newer interdisciplinary areas to be explored</li> <li>Attract faculty from other states.</li> </ul>

#### Section IV: Recommendations for Quality Enhancement of the Institution

(Please limit to *ten major ones* and use telegraphic language)

(It is not necessary to indicate all the ten bullets)

- Enhance student uptake
- Enhance clinical material
- Reinforce faculty remuneration
- Widen research collaboration
- Extended consultancy offerings
- Wider netting of patients of all categories.
- More faculty development opportunities
- Upgradation of Medical laborarories(teaching)
- Residential and welfare facilities to employees needs strengthening
- •

-

Name

Signature with date

- 1. Chairperson
- 2. Member -Co-

Ordinator

3. Member:

Signature of the Principal & seal







## राष्ट्रीय मूल्यांकन एवं प्रत्यायन परिषद

विश्वविद्यालय अनुदान आयोग का स्वायत्त संस्थान

### NATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION COUNCIL

An Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission

## **Quality Profile**

Name of the Institution : Bapuji Educational Association

College of Dental Sciences

Place: Davangere, Karnataka

Criteria	Weightage (W <sub>i</sub> )	Criterion-Wise Grade Point Averages ( Cr <sub>i</sub> GPA)	W <sub>i</sub> X Cr <sub>i</sub> GPA
I. Curricular Aspects	050	3.40	170
II. Teaching-Learning and Evaluation	450	2.93	1319
III. Research, Consultancy and Extension	100	2.60	260
IV. Infrastructure and Learning Resources	100	3.00	300
V. Student Support and Progression	100	3.00	300
VI. Governance and Leadership	150	1.97	296
VII. Innovative Practices	050	3.00	150
Total	$\sum_{i=1}^{7} w_i = 1000$		$\sum_{i=1}^{7} \Sigma(W_i \times Cr_i GPA) = 2795$

Institutional Score = 
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{7} (W_i \times Cr_i GPA)}{\sum_{i=1}^{7} W_i} = \frac{2795}{1000} = \boxed{2.80}$$

Grade = B

Descriptor =

GOOD

Date: January 05, 2013



<sup>·</sup> This certification is valid for a period of Five years with effect from January 05, 2013



An institutional CGPA on four point scale in the range of 3.01 - 4.00 denotes A grade (Very Good), 2.01 - 3.00 denotes B grade (Good), 1.51 - 2.00 denotes C grade (Satisfactory)

Scores rounded off to the nearest integer